Columbia University: Barnard College

Fall 2022 - Barnard Evaluations [Barnard Lecture/Seminar Evaluation]

Course: ECONBC1003_001_2022_3 - INTRO TO ECONOMIC REASONING

Instructor: Rajiv Sethi

TA: Christopher Babcock * ,Maria Belen Hipola

Response Rate: 50/58 (86.21 %)

1 - How would you rate you	ur teaching	assistant in	the follow	wing a	eas?										
The overall effectiveness of	of the teach	ing assistan	nt												
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	iency	Percent	Р	ercent R	esp	onses			Me	ans		
Poor		(1)	()	0.00%	1				4.27		4.11	4.23		
Fair		(2)	:	3	9.09%							7.11			
Good		(3)	4	1	12.12%		ı								
Very Good		(4)	7	7	21.21%										
Excellent		(5)	1	9	57.58%										
N/A		(0)	()	0.00%						l				
						0	25	50	100	Question	1	Department	Barnard Col	ege	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median		Department		Mean		STD	Median	Ва	rnard College	Mean	STD	Median
33/58 (56.90%)	4.27	1.01	5.00		388		4.11		1.18	5.00		2365	4.23	1.06	5.00

1 - How would you rate you	ır teaching	assistant ir	the follo	wing a	reas?									
The TA's ability to clarify of	ourse mate	rial												
Response Option		Weig	jht Frequ	uency	Percent	Perce	nt Re	sponses			Mea	ans		
Poor		(1)	, ,	1	3.03%	I			4.21		4.14	4.25		
Fair		(2)) (3	9.09%						4.14			
Good		(3)) (3	9.09%									
Very Good		(4)) 7	7	21.21%									
Excellent		(5)) 1	9	57.58%			I						
N/A		(0)) ()	0.00%					l				
		•	•			0 2	25	50 100	Question	ı	Department	Barnard Coll	ege	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median		Department	Me	ean	STD	Median	Ва	rnard College	Mean	STD	Median
33/58 (56.90%)	4.21	1.14	5.00		388	4.	14	1.21	5.00		2365	4.25	1.08	5.00

1 - How would you rate yo	our teaching	assistant i	n the fo	lowing a	reas?											
The TA's effectiveness in	answering q	uestions														
Response Option	Response Option Weight Frequency Percent						ercent R	esp	onses	Means						
Poor		(1)	1	3.03%	ı				4.27		4.16	4.28			
Fair		(2)	2	6.06%											
Good		(3)	3	9.09%											
Very Good		(4)	8	24.24%											
Excellent		(5)	19	57.58%											
N/A		(0)	0	0.00%											
					•	0	25	50	100	Question	1	Department	Barnard Coll	ege		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Media	1	Department		Mean		STD	Median	Ва	arnard College	Mean	STD	Median	
33/58 (56.90%)	4.27	1.07	5.00		388		4.16		1.19	5.00		2365	4.28	1.06	5.00	

The TA's ability to raise ch	allenging qu	uestions										
Response Option		Weigh	nt Frequenc	y Percent	Percen	t Re	esponses		N	Means		
Poor		(1)	1	3.03%	I				4.04	4.22		
Fair		(2)	5	15.15%				3.96	4.01			
Good		(3)	1	3.03%	ı							
Very Good		(4)	8	24.24%								
Excellent		(5)	13	39.39%								
N/A		(0)	5	15.15%								
		•	•	•	0 25		50 100	Question	Department	Barnard Col	lege	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mea	n	STD	Median	Barnard College	Mean	STD	Media
33/58 (56.90%)	3.96	1.26	4.00	388	4.0	1	1.24	5.00	2365	4.22	1.08	5.00

Columbia University: Barnard College

Fall 2022 - Barnard Evaluations [Barnard Lecture/Seminar Evaluation]

Course: ECONBC1003_001_2022_3 - INTRO TO ECONOMIC REASONING

Instructor: Rajiv Sethi

TA: Christopher Babcock * ,Maria Belen Hipola

Response Rate: 50/58 (86.21 %)

1 - How would you rate yo	our teaching	assistant ir	the follo	owing a	reas?										
The TA's feedback on you	ur work														
Response Option		Weig	ht Freq	uency	Percent	P	ercent R	esp	onses			Ме	ans		
Poor		(1)		1	3.03%	ı				4.03		4.04	4.10		
Fair		(2)		3	9.09%					4.03		4.01	4.10	l	
Good		(3)		4	12.12%										
Very Good		(4)		9	27.27%										
Excellent		(5)		14	42.42%										
N/A		(0)		2	6.06%										
						0	25	50	100	Question		Department	Barnard Coll	lege	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median		Department		Mean		STD	Median	Ва	arnard College	Mean	STD	Median
33/58 (56.90%)	4.03	1.14	4.00		388		4.01		1.24	5.00		2365	4.10	1.18	5.00

1 - How would you rate you	r teaching	assistant ir	the follo	wing a	reas?										
The TA's ability to stimulate	student p	articipation	1												
Response Option		Weig	ıht Frequ	iency	Percent	Pe	ercent R	esp	onses			Me	ans		
Poor		(1)		1	3.03%					4.00		4.04	4.13		
Fair		(2)		2	6.06%					4.03		4.04	4.13		
Good		(3)		7	21.21%										
Very Good		(4)	, ;	5	15.15%										
Excellent		(5)	1	5	45.45%										
N/A		(0)	, ;	3	9.09%						ı				
			•			0	25	50	100	Question	1	Department	Barnard Coll	ege	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	[Department		Mean		STD	Median	В	arnard College	Mean	STD	Median
33/58 (56.90%)	4.03	1.16	4.50		388		4.04		1.23	5.00		2365	4.13	1.12	5.00

1 - How would you rate yo	ur teaching	assistant in	the following	ng areas?							
The TA's feedback on ass	gnments ar	nd examinati	ions								
Response Option		Weigl	ht Frequer	ncy Percent	Percent R	esponses		Me	ans		
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%	1		4.16	4.02	4.10		
Fair		(2)	3	9.09%				4.02	4.10		
Good		(3)	4	12.12%							
Very Good		(4)	9	27.27%							
Excellent		(5)	15	45.45%							
N/A		(0)	2	6.06%							
					0 25	50 100	Question	Department	Barnard Colle	ege	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	Barnard College	Mean	STD	Median
33/58 (56.90%)	4.16	1.00	4.00	388	4.02	1.24	5.00	2365	4.10	1.18	5.00

1 - How would you rate you	ur touching	ussistant in	the followin	ig areas i									
The TA's ability to commu	nicate effec	tively with s	tudents										
Response Option		Weigh	nt Frequer	cy Percent	Pei	rcent Re	esponses			Me	ans		
Poor		(1)	0	0.00%	1			4.33		4.11	4.26		
Fair		(2)	3	9.09%						7.11			
Good		(3)	3	9.09%									
Very Good		(4)	7	21.21%									
Excellent		(5)	20	60.61%									
N/A		(0)	0	0.00%	ı								
		•	•	•	0	25	50 100	Question	ı	Department	Barnard Coll	ege	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	Barn	nard College	Mean	STD	Media
33/58 (56.90%)	4.33	0.99	5.00	388		4.11	1.19	5.00		2365	4.26	1.09	5.00

Columbia University: Barnard College

Fall 2022 - Barnard Evaluations [Barnard Lecture/Seminar Evaluation]

Course: ECONBC1003_001_2022_3 - INTRO TO ECONOMIC REASONING

Instructor: Rajiv Sethi

TA: Christopher Babcock * ,Maria Belen Hipola

Response Rate: 50/58 (86.21 %)

2 - Please provide any comments on the teaching assistant's effectiveness

Response Rate	17/58 (29.31%)
---------------	----------------

- · Nice, effective, efficient, and concise
- his recitations were long and rambling, going over time and not leaving enough space for questions. granted, i only went to two, but it was because the two were so long and intolerable. his office hours were really helpful though!
- · I thought my TA did well.
- n/a
- He was an amazing person to answer doubts with. He would go over all the material covered in class and would always be open to any questions. Super approachable and great help.
- Chris was a godsend for myself and so many other students. I truly respect him so incredibly much and wish I could have him as a professor in the future. I attended nearly all of Chris's office hours and felt he truly understood how to communicate information to introductory level students so we could actually understand what we learned in class. At times he also gave us helpful tips that weren't emphasized in class. He always ensured everyone in office hours and recitation got their questions answered and understood in full before moving on to the next topic which I really respected. I think because of the fact that he was in similar shoes to many of us more recently, he knew how to relate to us better and describe things in ways that didn't go over our heads.
- · Chris is amazing!
- · Great job!
- Chris was an absolutely phenomenal TA! During recitations and office hours, he did incredibly detailed work-throughs of math-based problems and concepts, and explained the theory/reasoning behind the more conceptual questions in an easily-digestible way. If our office hours/recitation groups grew to be on the more crowded side, he still made sure to answer every student's question and made sure they fully understood. He was very easy to reach out to through email with questions and if you were still confused beyond office hours/recitation, he emailed you with an answer and explanation. This course was made so much easier to comprehend (as well as much more interesting and fun to learn) with his work as a TA.
- Chris was always super helpful and clear at explaining topics. Thank you!!
- Chris was the best TA I've experienced; he could explain concepts taught in class efficiently. He also steps above what is required and facilitates interpersonal relationships with his students; it is evident that he cares about us. Chris is very responsive to emails and provides career-oriented feedback. He deserves a raise!
- He is not very good at explaining things that you would think he should know the answer to. During recitation I once asked why my method of solving a problem was wrong and he could not explain it, only to say that although my thinking was logical, it was incorrect.
- Fantastic TA (as well as everyone's favorite TA!), Chris was able to explain difficult concepts in a very easy-to-understand way. He is very approachable and gives examples that help a lot in understanding the material.
- Chris was one of the best parts of this course! I only attended a handful of his office hours, but they were immensely helpful every time.
- Chris is the most amazing TA ever and deserves a raise
- Chris is a great TA! He always tries to answer all the questions to the best of his abilities and is very understanding. I also love how he goes slowly when explaining problems because it helped me understands the concepts more.
- Great TA